

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.BASANT

TUESDAY, THE 30TH JANUARY 2007 / 10TH MAGHA 1928

Crl.MC.No. 4120 of 2006()

CRIME NO. 264/06 OF ERUMAPETTY POLICE STATION

PETITIONER:

RAJESWARI M., W/O.A.BALASUBRAMANIAN,
ADHIKARIVEETIL HOUSE, VELUR (P.O),
THALAPPILLY TALUK, THRISSUR DIST.

BY ADV. SRI.T.K.AJITH KUMAR
SRI.P.VINODKUMAR
SRI.SUSHANTH.J.

RESPONDENTS:

-
1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ERUMAPETTY POLICE STATION, THRISSUR DIST.,
BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
ERNAKULAM.
 2. SOBHANA @ NARAYANI, W/O.BHASKARAN NAIR,
PANIKKAVEETIL HUSE, VELUR (P.O),THALAPPILLY TALUK
THRISSUR DIST.
 3. DEEPA, D/O. BHASKARAN NAIR,
PANIKKAVEETIL HOUSE, VELUR (P.O),
THALAPPILLY TALUK, THRISSUR DIST.

R1 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI. GIKKU JACOB

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 30/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

R. BASANT, J.

Crl.M.C.No. 4120 of 2006

Dated this the 25th day of January, 2007

ORDER

The petitioner claims to be aggrieved by the report, Annex.B, submitted by the Sub Inspector of Police, Erumapetty police station. The Sub Inspector has filed a report under Section 107 Cr.P.C. against A party and B party arrayed in that report. The petitioner happens to be the B party. A party as well as B party are women. The Sub Inspector has requested the Sub Divisional Magistrate by Annex.B report that action may be taken under Section 107 Cr.P.C.

2. The statement of the Sub Inspector has been received. On behalf of the Sub Divisional Magistrate it is submitted that a statement is being filed today. The learned Prosecutor on behalf of the Sub Divisional Magistrate submits that the present incumbent has taken charge on 11.1.2007. He prays for two months time to consider Annex.B report and to take appropriate further steps, if any necessary.

3. I am, in these circumstances, satisfied that no further specific directions need be issued. I accept the submissions made by

the learned Prosecutor on behalf of the Sub Divisional Magistrate that the needful shall be done and a decision as to what further steps, if any, should be taken on Annex.B will be taken and conveyed to this Court within a period of two months from this date. In these circumstances, I am not satisfied that any further direction need be issued.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner, of course, submits that the action of the Sub Inspector in submitting Annex.B is itself not correct. Needless to say, the Sub Divisional Magistrate shall consider that aspect also.

5. In view of the submissions made by the learned Prosecutor on behalf of the Sub Divisional Magistrate that necessary action on Annex.B shall be taken within a period of two months from this date, this Crl.M.C. is dismissed.

(R. BASANT)
Judge

tm